

One issue that faculty frequently notice is that the questions students create for their research instruments sometimes seem disconnected from the primary research questions. In other words, the questions students write don't "get at" the information they seek. This can be because:

- the questions are poorly worded.
- the implications of the questions and their possible answers have not been thought through. (Each question has what designers call "affordances"—in this case, the possible kinds of data it will generate.)
- students have not decided what they want out of the questions.
- student have not thought about how the questions relate to their constructs or theoretical frameworks.

One way to scaffold the process of writing precise, functional questions for research instruments is to have students create a chart or document similar to this in which the student poses a question, identifies the goal or purpose of the question, anticipates types of answers as well as the potential content of the answers, and connects the question to the larger theoretical framework of their project.

Sample

Used by permission of Marissa Mastler, Ph.D. candidate, Urban Studies

Interview Question	What I want out of this	Potential Answers	Theoretical connections			
	question					
How do different institutions	How do different institutions generate knowledge claims about the definition, performance, and value of green infrastructure and					
its built and natural components? How are these contested? What new knowledge practices are created?						
How does your institution	Provides information on	1) any sustainable infrastructure	Ontological classification of			
(department/bureau/etc)	knowledge claims about	probably includes non-living	natural and man-made			
define green infrastructure?	definition,	infrastructure like those that use	components of			
		renewable energy	infrastructure; expected			
	Provides potential to reveal	2) infra that mimics nature probably	differences between			
	sources of definitions (from grey or peer-reviewed lit,	includes non-living infrastructure like permeable pavement	epistemic communities			
	consultants, etc)	3) infrastructure that includes nature				
		only infrastructure with living stuff in				
		it				
		4)_?? something unexpected				
What services do green	Describes what problems the	1) stormwater management	Epistemological			
infrastructure facilities	institution is using green infra	2) increased water quality	conceptions of			
provide? (Both mandated	to fix; provides information	3) urban cooling – mitigate heat	performance and			
and additional services)	on knowledge claims about	island	measurement; expected			
	performance	4) beautification	differences between			
I			epistemic communities			
Why use green facilities	Provides information	1) green cheaper than grey	Credibility contest/OPPs			
rather than grey to provide	regarding the justification for	2) added benefits service provided				
those services?	switching to green	by green over grey				
	infrastructure, which reflects	3) public pressure to build green				
	value of GI to institution	4) green infra champion				

Who is responsible for	Provides information about	1) engineering department	Credibility contest/OPPs	
facility design in your	who is considered a credible	2) ?? something unexpected		
institution?	knowledge producer;			
	potential contestations may			
	be uncovered here;			
Where did your current	Provides information about	1) EPA	Credibility contest/OPPs	
design standards come	who is considered a credible	2) local consultant		
from?	knowledge producer;	3) another city's plans		
	potential contestations may			
	be uncovered here;			
How are green	Provides information	1) fee-based program	Credibility contest/OPPs;	
infrastructure projects	regarding valuation metrics	2) general fund	Epistemological	
funded in your institution?	in use;	3) bonds	conceptions of	
How does this compare			performance and	
with grey infrastructure?			measurement; expected	
			differences between	
			epistemic communities	
In general, how would you	Explicit approach to green	Unknown	Ontological and/or	
describe your institution's	infrastructure		epistemological orientation	
approach to green			of the institution	
infrastructure management?				
Do you think it has evolved				
over time?				
How are green infrastructure knowledge systems challenges changing institutions and ecosystems on-the-ground?				
Describe a recent green	Job description of	I expect to hear about exceptions to	Knowledge Systems:	

infrastructure
implementation project you
were involved in

How did it differ from a grey infrastructure project?

(Follow-up questions to move the story along):

- What was your role in the project?
- What kind of facility type was built?
- Who generally was involved in the decision-making around this facility?
- Who designed the facility? What facility specifications did you use?
- What is the facility maintenance plan?
 Who is responsible for carrying out the maintenance plan?
- How is this facility

interviewee

Provides information regarding institutional structure/organization through example decision-making process;

Provides information regarding the institutions' approach to green infrastructure vs. grey infrastructure;

Provides information on what different institutional structures exist to support green vs grey infrastructure the rules when the interviewees describes a specific project; for example: "we usually build bioswales like X, but because of Y we had to do Z instead on this project."

existing and emerging knowledge practices; knowledge utilization and circulation;

Ontological classification of natural and man-made components of infrastructure;

Epistemological conceptions of performance and measurement;

Expected differences between epistemic communities

included in your Bureau's asset management? What facility valuation methods did your Bureau use to value this facility for asset management? • What metrics are used to evaluate the performance of this facility through time? Who is responsible for monitoring performance?			
How does your institution interface with other city institutions, or regional and county agencies, regarding green infrastructure projects? To what degree do these interactions impede or enhance your ability to	Provides information regarding collaborations – shared resources, shared designs, lower cost because working with others, etc. – around GI Provides information regarding combative relationships – higher cost	1) do not manage infra jointly 2) competition for funding of green infra 3) build facility on other institution's property or vice versa 4) ?? unknown	Credibility contests/OPPs

manage green infrastructure?	because of overlapping infrastructure, disincentives, etc. – around GI		
Wrap-up			
What do you see as the greatest challenges or opportunities for your institution if green infrastructure continues to expand?	Open-ended – what did I miss?	Unknown	Potentially all connections;